



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_53112
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF REBUILD DRIVING METHODS IN EUCALYPTUS PLANTS UNDER FOREST REGENERATION
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>MATERIAL AND METHODS Study area characterization</p> <p>He experimental area lacks information on the climate of the region (maximum, minimum, annual average temperature, precipitation, humidity and evapotranspiration).</p> <p>It is Important to provide information on the structure and physic-chemical characteristics of soils.</p> <p>Provide information about the composition floristics forests of <i>Eucalyptus grandis</i> x <i>Eucalyptus urophylla</i> hybrid.</p> <p>The methodology lacks an experimental design which clearly explain the treatments (methods: brushcutter, sickle, machete and hand digger) applied.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT Specify clearly the variables and statistical analysis applied.</p> <p>Presente in terms of the number the outstanding results on "the mean operation time of the methods and the brushcutter which has presented the highest cost per hectare.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION Lines 24-25 change "According to Gadelha et al. (2015) [8] and Gonçalves et al. (2017) [9]," to "According to Gadelha et al. [8] and Gonçalves et al. [9]," Provide information about the origin, distribution, production (wood, biomass) of ecucalyptus plants.</p> <p>Raise awareness of the economic importance in forest productivity terme of Eucalyptus species in Brazil.</p> <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Line 126 change "Leite et al. (2014) [10] explain that" to "Leite et al. [10] explain that" Line 142 change "According to Cunha et al. (2015) [11], manual systems tend" to "According to Cunha et al. [11], manual systems tend" The results on the average time per plot, quality and productivity of the offshoot operations in <i>Eucalyptus grandis</i> x <i>Eucalyptus urophylla</i> clonal planting using the brushcutter, sickle, machete and digger methods, and the results on average operating time per plot, damage percentage in each class and productivity per hectare for each of the evaluated methods, were not properly compared with similar results from other authors.</p>	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahim Foroughbakhch Pournavab
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Mexico