



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_53149
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential of wastewater reuse in soil fertility recovery in semiarid region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The author titled the manuscript: Potential of wastewater reuse in soil fertility recovery in semiarid region, which sounds like a general topic for a bigger project that should include the current manuscript. The title should be amended to suit the current findings which are the effects of treated wastewater on organic matter in degraded arid region. 2. Additionally, Organic matter in soil is in someway related to Nitrogen content. Instead of just focusing on OC and P. If the data for N is available, it will be interesting to see the response given the content in water. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is not clear if the initial soil samples were made composites as only one set of results from the 60 x 60 m2 was reported. 2. The Reference list is not consistent. A correction is necessary. 	
Optional/General comments	Soil fertility is broad and comprises the physical properties. If the author wants to keep their focus on fertility, they should consider including the soil's holding capacity pre and post irrigation.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No ethical issues	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pholosho M Kgopa
Department, University & Country	University of Limpopo, South Africa