

**COMPARING POULTRY MANURE TO INORGANIC  
FERTILIZER AND THEIR COMBINATION ON THE  
GROWTH AND YIELD PERFORMANCE OF MAIZE.  
(OMANKWA)**

---

14  
15  
16  
17  
18

**ABSTRACT**

**Aims:** Major effect of soil fertility decline is the observed reduced food production in most African countries, including Ghana. In order to sustain soil and crop productivity, it is necessary to explore alternative soil fertility replenishment strategies, which are effective and affordable to farmers, especially the smallholder farmer.

**Study design:** A RCBD in three replications with each block with dimension 4m×3m using spacing of 0.75m and 0.4m inter and intra and inter-row.

**Place and Duration of Study:** The research work was conducted at the CSIR-Crops Research Institute, Kumasi between April 2016 - December 2016 using Omankwa a released maize variety from CSIR-Crops Research Institute, Kumasi, Ghana.

**Methodology:** There were six treatments per rep and each rep was randomized. Treatments were as follows; control (no fertilizer or poultry manure); T1 (100% Poultry manure two weeks after planting of maize), T2 (100% (N.P.K) two weeks after planting of maize), T3 (25% Poultry manure and 75% NPK fertilizer), T4 (50% Poultry manure and 50% NPK fertilizer) and T5 (75% Poultry manure and 25% NPK fertilizer).

**Results:** Results from the study showed that Omankwa performed better under treatment T1 better than other treatments T4 and T5 even though T4 and T5 perform better in other parameters measure for the experiment but did not results in grain yield for Omankwa.

**Conclusion:** The use of poultry manure still remains to be the best source as alternative to soil nutrient used to often better yield of crop production but if the total or required amount are not applied. NPK can be applied to obtain optimum grain yield in maize production.

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

*Keywords: Omankwa, treatment, optimum, fertility, productivity*

25  
26

**1. INTRODUCTION**

On smallholder farms, soil fertility decline has been recognized as one of the major biophysical constraints affecting agriculture, particularly nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) deficiencies (Mokwunye et al., 1996). However, soil fertility has seldom been considered a critical issue by the development community who, until very recently have focused primarily on other biophysical constraints, such as soil erosion, drought, and the need for improved crop germplasm, especially in Africa (Lele, 1981; Eicher, 1982; Davis and Schirmer, 1987).

Most food crops in Ghana are produced by subsistent farmers, who over the years, practiced slash and burn and shifting cultivation to sustain yield even though at a low level. However,

27 increasing human population has led to intensive cultivation without adequately replenishing soil  
28 nutrients. The result has been the decline in crop yields and depletion of the resource base. The  
29 soils become fragile, quickly lose organic matter and nutrients when exposed to harsh  
30 environmental conditions or intensive cultivation.

31 Generally, Ghanaian soils are of low inherent fertility and therefore require external inputs to  
32 improve fertility. The use of mineral fertilizers is the most effective and convenient way to  
33 improve soil fertility. However, fertilizer use in Ghana has dropped to < 5kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> (MOFA,  
34 1998), due mainly to the high cost of mineral fertilizers (Bumb, 1994; Gerner et al., 1995).  
35 Consequently, there is presently a serious negative balance in nutrient budgets of soils in the  
36 country posing a major constraint to sustainable soil management for increased crop growth and  
37 yield. Ironically, there is a large amount of organic waste that can be turned into fertilizers for  
38 crop production at low cost. Everywhere in the world people settle first in areas with high  
39 potential fertile soils, adequate rainfall and mild temperatures. As populations grow, soil nutrient  
40 capital is gradually depleted when farmers are unable to sufficiently compensate losses by  
41 returning nutrients to the soil via crop residues, manures and mineral fertilizers.

42 Increasing pressures on agriculture result in much higher nutrient outflows and the subsequent  
43 breakdown of many traditional soil fertility maintenance strategies. These traditional fertility  
44 maintenance strategies such as fallowing, intercropping cereals with legume crops, manure  
45 producing mixed crop livestock farming and opening new lands have not been replaced by an  
46 effective fertilizer supply (Sanders et al., 1996). Several decades of nutrient depletion have  
47 transformed originally fertile lands that yielded about 2 to 4 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of cereal grain into infertile ones  
48 where cereal crops yield less than 1 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The bulk of the food in Africa is produced on  
49 smallholder farms (Cleaver and Schreiber, 1994; Gladwin et al., 1997). One of the major  
50 problems affecting food production in Africa is the rapid depletion of nutrients in smallholder farms  
51 (Badiane and Delgado, 1995). This is because the smallholder farmer is poorly resourced and  
52 unable to invest in soil fertility inputs, particularly mineral fertilizers. This is not surprising since  
53 about half of Africa's population is classified as "absolute poor" subsisting on per capita incomes  
54 of less than 1 US\$ per day (Badiane and Delgado, 1995). The situation is critical especially when  
55 the poor farmer has to bear the full cost of production owing to the removal of subsidies on  
56 mineral fertilizers.

57 Therefore, the use of inorganic fertilizers results in high cost of maize production, destruction of  
58 the soil and aquatic life when leached into water bodies. Salt accumulation which develops as a  
59 result of repeated application of inorganic fertilizers forces the plant to expend more energy to  
60 draw water from the soil and can cause them to appear wilted or dried out. The general objective  
61 of the study is to compare poultry manure, inorganic fertilizer N.P.K (15-15-15) and their  
62 combination on the growth and yield of maize (Omankwa).

63

## 64 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

65

### 66 **2.1 Location of the study area**

67 The research work was conducted at Crops Research Institute Fumesua, Kumasi-Ashanti  
68 Region. Fumesua is a Metropolitan Assembly in Kumasi. It is situated on the Accra and  
69 Kumasi high way, under Ejisu -Juaben district in the Ashanti region. It is located between  
70 latitude 6.8 North and longitude 1.8 west of the equator. The area is a dense forest belt and  
71 experiences two types of rain fall pattern which are the major rain and the minor rain pattern,

72 the dry season from November to January (Meteorological Service Department, Ashanti,  
73 2016).

74

## 75 2.2 Experimental design and Management

76 Before planting, soil samples from the experimental site were collected at 0-15cm and 15-  
77 30cm depth for analysis of organic carbon, total nitrogen using standard methods,  
78 extractable P, Ca, Mg, K, Na using Mehlich-1 (M1) extraction method, where P and Mg<sup>2+</sup>  
79 were determined colour metrically in a spectrophotometer and Ca<sup>2+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> were  
80 determined using flame photometer. Results of the soil analysis is as showed in Table 1.  
81 The field was ploughed and left as such for one-week. Total land area of 16m×12m was  
82 used with each plot size measuring 4m×3m using spacing of 0.75m and 0.4m inter and intra  
83 and inter-row respectively in a RCBD in three replications. The blocks were further divided  
84 into six treatments per plot with 1m alley between them. The treatments were; T0 – Control,  
85 T1 – 100% Poultry manure two weeks after planting of maize, T2 - 100% (N.P.K) two weeks  
86 after planting of maize, T3 – 25% Poultry manure and 75% NPK fertilizer, T4 – 50% Poultry  
87 manure and 50% NPK fertilizer and T5 – 75% Poultry manure and 25% NPK fertilizer  
88 constituted from recommended rate of 4 ton/ ha (poultry manure) and 250kg/ha as showed  
89 in Table 2.

90

91 **Tables 1.** Compositional soil analysis of the three-study areas

92

Parameters	Fumesua		Landon (1991) interpretation	
	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	High	Low
pH	3.64	3.47	>6.5	<5.8
Total Nitrogen (%)	0.11	0.07	>0.5	<0.2
Organic Carbon (%)	3.03	2.47	>10.0	<4.0
Organic Matter (%)	5.22	4.26	>10.0	<2.0
Ca (Cmol/Kg)	42.4	30.8	>10.0	<4.0
Mg (Cmol/Kg)	2.6	4.6	>4.0	<0.5
K (Cmol/Kg)	0.36	0.28	>0.6	<0.2
Na (Cmol/Kg)	0.94	0.8	>1.0	<1.0
Al (Cmol/Kg)	0.5	0.67		
H (Cmol/Kg)	0.33	0.33		
P (mg/Kg)	53.47	32.28	>50.0	<15.0
SAND (%)	80	84		
CLAY (%)	10	10		
SILT (%)	10	6		
TEXTURAL CLASS	Sandy Loam	Loamy fine sand		

93

94 **Table 2: Treatment Description**

95

No	Description of Treatment	Abbreviation
1	Control (No Fertilizer)	T0
2	100% Poultry manure two weeks after planting of maize	T1
3	100% (N.P.K) two weeks after planting of maize,	T2

4	25% Poultry manure and 75% NPK fertilizer	T3
5	50% Poultry manure and 50% NPK fertilizer	T4
6	75% Poultry manure and 25% NPK fertilizer	T5

96

### 97 **2.3 Data Collection**

98 Data for growth was collected after two weeks of planting and continue after every two  
99 weeks based on the following parameters.

- 100 • measuring the stem girth
- 101 • leave length,
- 102 • Number of leaves per plant
- 103 • Plant height
- 104 • Ear height

105 Data on yield will be analysis after harvesting based on

- 106 • Cob girth/width
- 107 • Number of cob/plants
- 108 • Grains weight
- 109 • Grain moisture
- 110 • Field weight

111

### 112 **2.4 Data Analysis**

113 Data of maize yield and growth parameters were analyzed using GenStat to run analysis of  
114 variance (ANOVA) and result will be presented in tables and at LSD of ( $p=0.05$ ).

115

## 116 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

117

118 The analysis of variance for grain yield and yield related traits for Omankwa under various  
119 soil amendments at Fumesua are given in Table 3 and Table 4. Analysis of variance  
120 showed significant differences for leaf length (cm), Stem girth (cm), grain yield (ton/ha),  
121 field weight (kg) and the number of leaves at 4WAP at the experimental site. The  
122 significance difference among the treatment indicates the positive responses of the various  
123 treatments used for grain yield which could be exploited for improvement of the respective  
124 treatments. Results from the experiment showed that leaf length were significantly  
125 difference even though T1 recorded the highest leaf length and the treatment T0 recorded  
126 the least length as showed in Table 3 and 4.

127 Stem girth of Omankwa showed that stem girth was not significantly different. T5 recorded  
128 the highest stem girth and lowest T0. In this study the increase in available nitrogen levels  
129 influenced positively the stem girth and ear length of maize plant. These findings were also  
130 reported by Okumura et al. (2011) and Santos et al. (2002). The ear height of Omankwa  
131 under treat T4 recorded the highest and the lowest T0. Ear height recorded no significant  
132 difference among the treatments used for the experiment. Treatment T5 recorded the  
133 highest height among the treatment and T0 the lowest height as showed in Table 1. No  
134 significant difference was recorded among various plants under different treatments as  
135 showed in Table 3 and 4.

136 Treatment T4 recorded the highest weight at the field and the lowest weight at T0  
137 treatment showing no significant difference among the treatments used for the experiment.  
138 Increase in grain weight and yield was promoted by adequate nitrogen supply, because  
139 nitrogen absorbed by plants in responsible by fixation of carbon skeletons to amino acids

140 synthesis (Marschner et al., 1995), which results in several protein that have specific  
141 functions in plant metabolism. On the average the chlorophyll content index of T1 recorded  
142 the highest value compared with T0 which recorded less showing significant difference  
143 among treatments. T4 treatment recorded the highest girth compared with T0 treatment  
144 showing no significant different among the treatments used for the experiment. In addition,  
145 increase in chlorophyll content index and stem girth are needed during grain filling period  
146 these carbon compounds previously fixed are broken down, transported and stored in form  
147 of proteins and amino acids (Okumura et al., 2011). Gul et al., (2015) reported that maize  
148 grain yield was linearly influenced by nitrogen level applied. Bashir et al. (2012), Okumura  
149 et al. (2011), Deparis et al. (2007), Cruz et al., (2008) and Bastos et al. (2008) showed  
150 also linear behaviour linked to yield in maize induced by increase in nitrogen level as  
151 showed in Table 3 and 4.

152 No significant difference was recorded when the number of cobs per plant were taken  
153 during the experiment. All treatments used for the experiment the same number of cobs  
154 per plant. T2 treatment had 50% tasselling latest and the earliest been T4 showing no  
155 significant different among the treatments used for the experiment. Treatments T1, T4 and  
156 T5 had its silk appearing earlier than T2 showing significant difference among the  
157 treatments as showed in Table 3 and 4.

158 There was no significant difference when the number of leaves were determined at 2 WAP  
159 but slight difference in number of leaves were observed when they were counted after  
160 4WAP with the least recorded for T0 with no significant difference with the minimum count  
161 of 8 and maximum 11. No significant difference was recorded when taken after 6WAP but  
162 T4 recorded the highest of 13 leaves and T0 recording the lowest as showed in Table 3  
163 and 4. Biomass (leaf and stem dry weight) and yield components (ear weight, ear length,  
164 ear diameter and 100 seed weight) were also significant increased with the application of  
165 poultry manure which resulted in an overall increase in grain yield per hectare

166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180

181 **Table 3. Analysis of variance of yield and yield related performance of Omankwa maize variety.**

Sources of variation	DF	Length of Leaf	Stem Girth	Ear Height	Plant Height	CCI	Grain Yield	Field Wt.	Cob Girth	DAS	D
Rep	3	29.6871	0.1737	45.0771	132.7217	35.0828	19.2708	0.1526	0.0897	6.1111	1
Treatment	5	76.3368*	1.4964*	84.9227	246.9444	51.4157	22.4195*	0.2594*	0.1786*	4.5667	1
Error	15	19.1514	0.3471	39.121	117.6065	84.6301	7.5687	0.0703	0.0503	3.7444	2
Total	23										
<i>Descriptive Data</i>											
Min		66.1	4.8	46.36	104.64	5.2	1.39	0.6	3.07	53	5
Max		89.2	8.2	68.18	147.82	39.5	13.18	1.9	4.33	61	5
Mean		80.14	6.5	56.5	128.21	16.06	6.33	1.2	3.77	56.67	5
Std Dev		5.74	0.7578	7.06	12.15	8.42	3.51	0.3495	0.2886	2.06	1
CV (%)		5.46	9.06	11.07	8.46	57.29	43.44	22.02	5.95	3.41	2

182

183 **Table 4: Means of various yield and yield related performance of Omankwa maize variety.**

Treatment	N	Length Leaf	Stem Girth	Ear Height	Plant Height	CCI	Grain yield	Field Wt.	Cob Girth	DAS	DAT	No Leaves 2WAP	of No Leaves 4WAP	of No Leaves 6WAP	of
T <sub>0</sub>	4	73.7b	5.55b	50.12	115.82	10.75	2.72a	0.85b	3.46b	57.25	53.25	5.25	9b	11.5	
T <sub>1</sub>	4	84.1a	6.85ab	58.86	131.55	16.1	6.41a	1.27ab	3.88ab	56.25	52.75	5.25	9.75ab	12.25	
T <sub>2</sub>	4	76.28ab	6.05ab	52.16	126.16	21.82	5.07a	1.03ab	3.62ab	58.5	53.75	5	9.5ab	11.75	
T <sub>3</sub>	4	79.67ab	6.45ab	55.91	123.82	14.57	5.99a	1.2ab	3.81ab	56.5	52.75	5	9.5ab	12	
T <sub>4</sub>	4	83.65ab	7a	61.5	135.59	16.82	8.88a	1.6a	4.08a	55.5	52	5.25	10.75a	12.75	
T <sub>5</sub>	4	83.42ab	7.12a	60.46	136.34	16.27	8.93a	1.27ab	3.76ab	56	53.25	5.75	10.25ab	12.5	

Means with the same letter is not significantly different

184

#### 185 4. CONCLUSION

186 Based on the findings of this study, it can be concluded that maize growth parameters (stem girth and ear length) increase  
187 significantly with increase in nitrogen level. Maize yield characters, namely ear weight and 100 grain weight increased  
188 significantly with increased in nitrogen level. Maize grain yield was increased by nitrogen rates evaluated. It was possible  
189 to visualize relationships amongst maize growth characters, yield parameters and maize grain yield. The use of poultry  
190 manure still remains to be the best source of soil nutrient used to often better yield of crop production but if the total or  
191 required amount are not applied. NPK can be applied to obtain optimum grain yield in maize production.  
192  
193

#### 194 REFERENCES

195  
196  
197 Badiane, O. and Delgado, C. L. (1995). A 2020 vision for food, agriculture and the environment, Discussion Paper 4.  
198 International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC  
199

200 Bashir, S. (2012). Response of brown sarson to NPK application under early, normal and late sown conditions (Ph.D  
201 thesis), Division of Agronomy, SKUAST-K. 223p

202 Bastos, E. A., Cardoso, M. J., Melo, F. B., Ribeiro, V. Q. and Andrade Junior, A. S. (2008). Rates and timing of nitrogen  
203 application for obtaining the economic grain yield, under no tillage, Revista Ciencia Agronomica. Vol: 39:275-280.

204 Bumb, B. I. (1994). World nitrogen supply and demand: An overview. In Bacon, P. E. (ed.) Nitrogen Fertilization and the  
205 Environment. Marcel Dekker Inc. New York, USA.

206 Cleaver, K. M. and Schreiber, G. A. (1994). Reserving the spiral; the population, agriculture and environment nexus in  
207 sub-Saharan Africa. World Bank, Washington, DC.

208 Cruz, S. C. S., Pereira, F. R. S., Santos, J. R., Albuquerque, A. W. and Pereira, R. G. (2008). Nitrogen fertilization for corn  
209 cultivated under a no tillage system in the state of Alagoas, Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agricola e  
210 Ambiental. Vol: 12: 62-68.

211 Davis, T. J. and Schirmer, I. A. (ed.). (1987). Sustainability issues in agricultural development. Proc. Of the Seventh  
212 Agriculture Sector Symposium. World Bank, Washington, DC.

213 Eicher, C. K. (1982). Facing up to Africa's food crisis. Foreign Affairs 61(1): 154-174.

214 Gerner H., Asante E. O., Owusu-Bennoah E. and Marfo K. (1995). Ghana fertilizer privatization scheme: Private Sector  
215 Roles and Public Sector responsibilities in meeting needs of farmers. IFDC-Africa, Lome, Togo

216 Gladwin, C. H., Buhr, K. L., Goldman, A., Hiebsch, C., Hildebrand, P. E., Kidder, G., Langham, M., Lee, D., Nkedi-Kizza  
217 P. and Williams, D. (1997). Gender and soil fertility in Africa. In: R. J. Buresh, P. A. Sanchez and F. Calhoun (eds.):  
218 Replenishing soil fertility in Africa. ASA-SSSA Special Publication 51: 219. American Society of Agronomy, 107Madison,  
219 W1, USA

220 Gul, S., Khan, M. H., Khanday, B. A., and Nabi, S. (2015). Effect of sowing methods and NPK levels on groth and yield of  
221 rainfed maize (*Zea mays* L.) Scientifica. Vol 2015, Article ID 198575, 6p.

222 Lele, U. (1981). Rural Africa: modernization, equity and long-term development. Science 211(4): 557-583.

223 Marshner, H. (1995). Mineral nutrition of higher plant 2nd edn. Academic press, New York, 889p.

224 Meteorological Service Department, Ashanti.

225 Mokwunye, A. U., de Jager, A. and Smaling, E. M. A. (1996). Restoring and maintaining the productivity of West Africa  
226 Soils: Key to sustainable development. International Fertilizer Development Center (IFDC), Muscle Shoals, Alabama. 94p

227 Okumura, R. S, Takahashi, H. W., Santos, D. G. C., Lobato, A.K. S., Mariano, D.C., Marques. O. J., Silva, M. H. L. S.,  
228 Neto, C. F. O., and Lima Junior, J. A. (2011). Influence of different nitrogen levels on growth and production parameters  
229 in maize plants. Journal of food, agriculture & Environment. Vol 9 (3 & 4): 510-514. www.world-food.net.

230  
231  
232  
  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248

Sanders, J. H., Shapico, B. I. and Ramaswamy, S. (1996). The economics of agricultural technology in semi-arid sub-Saharan Africa. John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, USA. 303p

Santos, P. G., Juliatti, F. C., Buiatti, A. L and Hamawaki. O. T. (2002). Evaluation of agronomic performance of corn hybrids in Uberlandia, MG, Brazil. Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira. Vol. 37: 597-602.

UNDER PEER REVIEW



249  
250  
251  
252  
253

APPENDIX

APPENDIX 1. RAINFALL FIGURES FROM EJURA, WENCHI AND FUMESUA (2016)

LOCATION	MONTH	JANUARY	FEBRUARY	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER
FUMESUA	2016	2.8	0	90.1	85.7	149.3	90.9	134.9	496.5	214.31	194	67.6	15.6

254  
255  
256  
257  
258

APPENDIX 2. MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM TEMPERATURE FROM EJURA, WENCHI AND FUMESUA (2016)

		JANUARY		FEBRUARY		MARCH		APRIL		MAY		JUNE		JULY		AUGUST		SEPTEMBER		OCTOBER		NOVEMBER		DECEMBER	
LOCATION	YEAR	MAX	MIN	MAX	MIN	MAX	MIN	MAX	MIN	MAX	MIN	MAX	MIN	MAX	MIN	MAX	MIN	MAX	MIN	MAX	MIN	MAX	MIN	MAX	MIN
FUMESUA	2016	32.7	21.1	35.4	23.3	34.4	23.5	32.8	24.1	28.1	23	30.3	22.2	27.9	22	27.4	21.7	30	22.1	30.7	22.3	31.6	22.4	32.5	21.5

259