



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_47880
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF EXTERNAL DEBT ON VARIOUS MACRO ECONOMIC VARIABLES: INDIAN EVIDENCE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The methodology is very poor it has to be re-written. The author doesn't even understand that Objectives and Hypotheses are not part of Methodology section 2. No model is presented in the study and there is a complete collapse of the document in 375-403. I couldn't really understand what is actually presented 3. The results from unknown model are just described and nowhere in the document they are discussed 4. No support of results from literature 5. There is no a theoretical framework of the study 6. There is no motivation of the study 7. Nowhere in the document you can establish the contribution of the paper 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The English grammar is a serious problem throughout the document 2. Arrangement of the document is very poor 3. A lot of information is missing 4. Very crucial paras in the introduction are left unreferenced e.g. 21-45 5. The references are too old 	
Optional/General comments	Non	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Josephat Lotto
Department, University & Country	The Institute of Finance Management, Tanzania