



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_47880
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF EXTERNAL DEBT ON VARIOUS MACRO ECONOMIC VARIABLES: INDIAN EVIDENCE
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Get the manuscript proof read. There are spacing and punctuation flaws.</li> <li>2. Line 90 to 97; give a reason for why using quarterly data analysis.</li> <li>3. Double spacing issues are too many throughout the manuscript.</li> <li>4. Literature review should be done through synthesize mode instead of one by one quote of the past work.</li> <li>5. Explain Data type within the Source of data. No need for the new heading.</li> <li>6. The equation of the model are not appearing</li> <li>7. It is compulsory to form one model including all the regressors in one equation and then analyse.</li> <li>8. Elaborate regression results prior to Granger test results.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Highlight regression results in abstract.</li> <li>2. Line 38 &amp; 41, quote dates for World Bank and IMF reference.</li> <li>3. Mention scheme of study before Literature review.</li> <li>4. Line 170, Spellings of Johnsen are <i>Johansen</i>.</li> <li>5. Alignment of paragraph issue on the line 278.</li> <li>6. Line 280 to 284, objectives of the study should be moved to the Introduction part.</li> <li>7. Conclusion is very big for the article size.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Synthesize the entire writing. It appears to be extracted from Thesis. And Thesis and Article should look different.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Khawaja Asif Mehmood</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Bahauddin Zakariya University, Pakistan</i></b>