



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JEMT_56306</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Access to And Impact of Microcredit on Economic Activities of Organized Women Groups in the Adentan Municipality, Ghana</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>The article addresses a current and relevant topic, this is a strong point. The logical sequence (start-middle-end) and fluency are also highlighting of the article. However, there are five items that should be checked:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Keywords: Keywords were not added to the article - It's important;</li> <li>2) Methodology: the part referring to the method is treated superficially in the Abstract. There is no item that works on the research methodology adopted - that item will greatly enrich the article;</li> <li>3) Conclusion and Recommendation: well built, but in this type of research, it would be interesting to add the limitations of this study;</li> <li>4) Tables: check the configuration of the table borders; and</li> <li>5) References: need to be standardized, e.g., (i) use "&amp;" or "and" for more than one author, (ii) check the use of italics and quotation marks in references. <p>Punctually:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. Add commas and periods in the reference "10. Fishman R and Love I (2003)";</li> <li>B. Add the letters of the pre-names in the reference "13. McCulloch &amp; Baulch, 2000", as well as putting the year this publication in parentheses;</li> <li>C. Check what's the form of reference it's correct: "3. Asiamah, J. P. &amp; Osei, V. (2007)." in the References topic or "Asiama and Osei (2007)" in page 4; and</li> <li>d. The reference "Asiama, et al, 2007" (page 2), is not in the References topic.</li> </ol> </li></ol> |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |  |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |  |  |

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Gilnei Luiz de Moura</b>                             |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Federal University of Santa Maria – UFSM, Brazil</b> |