



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_60928
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Exchange Rate on Bangladesh's Export: A Cointegration Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The abstract: This paper investigates the monthly data of Bangladesh export value and exchange rate for the time period between year 2000 and year 2017. It should be changed: This paper investigates the monthly data of exchange rate and its impact on Bangladesh export value for the time period between year 2000 and year 2017, or something like that.</p> <p>Keywords are missing in this paper.</p> <p>The introduction is insufficient in regarding to discussion. The introduction should be added more discussion about the novelty of the paper as well as should cite few references of empirical previous studies instead of general analyses as the manuscript has done.</p> <p>Many paper on the literature review is too old. The Literature Review should be added one or two papers more in 2020, for example the research of Shah Abbas et al. (2020), The Impact of China Exchange Rate Policy on its Trading Partners: Evidence Based on the GVAR Model, and/OR: Sugiharti at el. (2020). The impact of exchange rate volatility on Indonesia's top exports to the five main export markets. The authors can find few newest studies in 2020 for your literature review</p> <p>Result and discussion should be added as "Section 4" The Table 3 is ugly to see. The authors should be re-formatted this table for easier to see or much better.</p> <p>References (not Reference). Some references are not consistent with the regulations of APA.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Some mistakes in Format, font, The abstract The introduction At least two papers in 2020 for literature review Much discussion on free floating exchange rate system References with APA style</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>This is a great paper I have seen. The methodology, data, literature review, all are suitable. I see that the results based on Johansen test, and stationarity test, it is good to see your efforts. However, in the future, I hope that the authors should analyse this research with adding more variables. In particular, discussing more about free floating exchange rate system since May 2003, first, the topic of The Impact of Exchange Rate on Bangladesh's Export, it has a low contribution to the knowledge. In fact, by conducting free floating exchange rate system, the impact of Exchange Rate on Bangladesh's Export, if any, it is too little. Or this is good to check once the policy of conducting free floating exchange rate system in Bangladesh. Therefore, this suggestion needs to be more expansion in the discussion.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chien Nguyen</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Thu Dau Mot University, Vietnam</b>