



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_60928
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Exchange Rate on Bangladesh's Export: A Cointegration Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The paper was interesting reading, However, the Introduction needs some improvements to bring out the gap that makes the work different from similar country study. Thus from the empirical review by the authors, it clearly shows that there have been similar studies in the case of Bangladesh. Hence there is no empirical evidence that shows that the current work is different from other studies that have been done on Bangladesh in the introduction. Authors, must state clearly what makes their work different from other studies done in Bangladesh with regards to Exchange rate effect on exports. 2. Authors must review and cite the following article in the work in the empirical review section: Buabeng, E., Ayesu, E. K., & Adabor, O. (2019). The Effect of Exchange Rate Fluctuation on the Performance of Manufacturing Firms: An Empirical Evidence from Ghana. <i>Economics Literature</i>, 1(2), 133-147. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Authors must kindly provide keywords in their Abstract 2. Provide reference for the Tables. Example of How to go about it has been given in the main work 	
Optional/General comments	Generally, the paper has been well written and discussions has been made accordingly. However, before publication the paper needs major proof reading and editing of the writing.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Enock Kojo Ayesu</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Kwame Nkrumah University Of Science And Technology, Ghana</i>