



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_60928
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Exchange Rate on Bangladesh's Export: A Cointegration Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abstract must summaries the work including policy recommendations.</p> <p>The abstract is not clearly written for instance an empirical study does not investigate data over a time period. The abstract must clearly state the objective of the study</p> <p>The introduction is to simple hence much more need to be done on it.</p> <p>The motivation for the study is not strong enough to conduct the research work. The research must get a strong motivation including gab in literature</p> <p>Exchange rate direction is not clear since exchange rate can increase or decrease. Thus exchange rate have either an appreciation or depreciation effect on Bangladesh export. Exchange rate is not linear in trend since it fluctuate overtime hence the Nonlinear ARDL is a good estimator to capture the individual effect of appreciation and depreciation effect of a currency on a country export. However, VAR does not take into account distinct effect of appreciation and depreciation effect on exchange rate</p> <p>Table 1 is not well presented, the author must follow a paper by Buabeng, E., Ayesu, E., & Adabor to do a good work</p> <p>Equation 3 and 4 must appear in methodology</p> <p>The discussion on table 3 are inadequate. The author must present a detailed discussion on the results</p> <p>The conclusion must contain policy recommendations because they are the main reason why a research is carried out</p>	<p>The paper is not up to standard in empirical studies. The author must follow the comments provided to strengthen the work.</p> <p>The study also lack originality because there are numerous studies in empirical literature</p> <p>The author must show how unique his work is different from existing works</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The author should also write on how exchange rate and export are linked in Bangladesh economy backing it with empirical and statistical facts</p> <p>In the empirical review section, the author must write a brief conclusion and distinguish his or her work from the other studies reviewed or else it is just a repetition on an empirical study. In addition, most of the empirical studies reviewed are too old. Recent studies are highly recommended.</p> <p>The methodology is not well written and it must be detailed. There are a lot of gab left to be filled</p> <p>Equations on Dickey and Fuller (1981), and Phillips and Perron (1988) must be presented in the methodology section.</p>	<p>The write up is also not impressive. He or she must work on his writing skills</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>"Bangladesh is one of the small open economies which gross domestic product (GDP) is heavily dependent on export earnings" The author must provide good statistical and empirical support to this statement in the introduction.</p> <p>The study must also give a detailed discussion on all the variables used in the study</p>	<p>The paper needs total overhaul to meet some standards</p>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Opoku Adabor
Department, University & Country	Kwame Nkrumah University of Science & Technology, Ghana