



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_61028
Title of the Manuscript:	SAVING BEHAVIOR AND EXTERNAL DEBT SERVICE: THE WEST AFRICAN PERSPECTIVE.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I believe that the fourth section is missing in this paragraph: "The section will state the findings and results, while the conclusion follows". I didn't understand this paragraph: "Kenrick Hunte, (2002) examined the relationship between saving behavior and the external body in Sub-Saharan African. These two paragraphs contains information that were not analyzed by the research, therefore, they should be removed from the conclusions : "There is another group that their monies are stacked in the bedroom pillows they have nothing to do with the banks. These types of monies are redundant and do not generate any income. There is also the question of when good policies are made, there is a basic need for political will to stick to the policy, and policy continuation when there is a new government in power. " 	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thiago Henrique Omena
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Tocantins, Brazil