



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_61435
Title of the Manuscript:	A Strategic and Adaptive Plan for Resurgence of Food-Tech Delivery Service Sector During and Beyond Public Health Pandemic Crisis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article is novel. It clearly shows and understanding of roles of ICT in disruptive supply chain arising from the global pandemic. In order to improve the quality of the article, some track changes have been observed.</p> <p>“ascertain insight to see” can be changed to analyse in your abstract</p> <p>In your abstract, CISCO Webex meeting facility should be included as the means for data collection/online interview. Secondly your results should emanate from your findings. You generalize under your abstract.</p> <p>You may categorize the responses of the respondents in line with the 7-based questions and present in percentage(s) as noted in the body of the work.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>A number of questions were raised in the last paragraphs of your introduction; I presume that, you did not cover all the raised questions.</p> <p>Please, provide the full meaning of FBO as you did for F&B</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>No doubt about the novelty of this article. However, the minor corrections may improve it and will make it more scientific.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adepoju Olusegun Onifade
Department, University & Country	Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria