



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_49875
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparative Analysis of Rescaled Range Results of Normal and Abnormal Heart Sound Recordings.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Novelty of the work is appreciated. Some of the observations i had in the manuscripts is given beow:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) <math>H &gt; 0.5</math> , There is no justification for fixing the value 0.5 for all the cases.</li> <li>2) A recording length of 10 seconds corresponds to a data length of 20,002. There is no unit mentioned for 20,002.</li> <li>3) The heart recording environment has to be specified in the paper. Because the heart will function differently for different environment, during walking, running, depressed etc. This should be mentioned to find the abnormality. Otherwise the work will not be fruitful.</li> <li>4) Another question arise with respect to abnormal, is it a symptom to any heart diseases?</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nallasivan Gomathinayagam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>PSN College of Engineering and Technology, India</b>