



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_51614
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF A DRIP IRRIGATION SYSTEM IN SOUTHEASTERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This paper, development and evaluation of a drip irrigation system in southeastern Nigeria, has been developed to study a PVC drip irrigation. The work is good but needs to be compared to previous work in order to know the benefits of this tool regarding the other tools that are used. The method should be evaluated and compared with other result using figures and performances criteria such as computation complexity. References need to be updated to include more recently published papers on the topic.</p> <p>In the abstract only the goal and results obtained have to be presented; It can begin from "This study focuses on the development of</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - References need to be updated to include more recently published papers on the topic. - In the abstract only the goal and results obtained have to be presented; It can begin from "This study focuses on the development of - The work is good but needs to be compared to previous work in order to know the benefits of this tool regarding the other tools that are used. - Introduce the problem and motivations in the application context. - The technical content in terms of innovation and significance should be further emphasized. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Guerbaoui
Department, University & Country	Moulay Ismail University, Morocco