



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_51614
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF A DRIP IRRIGATION SYSTEM IN SOUTHEASTERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The layout of the irrigation system should be presented and explained In the initial sections of chapter 3 results are not clearly presented In chapter 3 some comparisons are made with other studies. Can these comparisons be made? For instance, the type of soil may or may not interfere In all comparisons? Poor conclusions in section 4 The entire text should undergo a major revision.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>line 7 define PVC line 21 define PWP line 26 "m" is missing in word from line 62 replace during by During lines 77 and 78 review units lines 106 and 107 review text line 112 review text line 169 revise text line 179 revise text line 180 revise equation 2.6, what D and L mean? line 186 add equation number to text. This equation is the same as equation 2.6 with a factor of 5.85. Explain better the differences between both equations. line 189 revise text line 190 renumber equation. What is X in this equation? format all the equations accordingly line 195 what statistical operations were performed? This part should be described with a better detail line 198 starts by saying "From the results". Were are the results presented?</p>	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Pedro Beirão</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Polytechnic Institute of Coimbra, Portugal</i>