



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JESBS_50682 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Mass Media as environmental awareness strategies and attitude of farmers towards deforestation |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Replace words already in title - There are texts in the introduction that should be referenced. - To separate the Introduction and the method into sections, to define more clearly the object of the study. - Common plant names should be replaced by their scientific names. - Common animal names should be replaced by their scientific names. - The study is a confusion between induction, methodological cuts and an overly long review of the literature. These sections should be reviewed and rewritten more objectively. - The authors should inform the authorization by the Ethics Committee for the study. Because it involves people, this article may NOT be published for approval by the Ethics Committee involving Humans. - Public policies, laws, and social organizations are more effective at changing attitudes than mass media. This should also be considered in the discussion. - Update the references. Most are over five years old - Arrange the references in alphabetical order. To review. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Replace words already in title - There are texts in the introduction that should be referenced. - To separate the Introduction and the method into sections, to define more clearly the object of the study. - Common plant names should be replaced by their scientific names. - Common animal names should be replaced by their scientific names. - The study is a confusion between induction, methodological cuts and an overly long review of the literature. These sections should be reviewed and rewritten more objectively. - The authors should inform the authorization by the Ethics Committee for the study. Because it involves people, this article may NOT be published for approval by the Ethics Committee involving Humans. - Public policies, laws, and social organizations are more effective at changing attitudes than mass media. This should also be considered in the discussion. - Update the references. Most are over five years old <p>Arrange the references in alphabetical order. To review.</p> |
| Minor REVISION comments | See comments | See comments |
| Optional/General comments | | |



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes, see comments | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | <i>Junir Antonio Lutinski</i> |
| Department, University & Country | <i>Universidade Comunitária da Região de Chapecó, Brazil</i> |