



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_54409
Title of the Manuscript:	Appropriating BANA Teaching Approaches to Suit TESEP Contexts: A Case Study in a Saudi University
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>After reading the paper I feel that the manuscript is of potential interest to the readership of this journal, but there are major issues that must be addressed before the article could be published:</p> <p>/* What was the methodology used for the selection of relevant literature to review for purposes associated with this manuscript? In other words, what were the criteria that drove the search for key publications to support the literature review?</p> <p>/* There does not appear to be an explicit theoretical framework. Currently the manuscript appears to be somewhat descriptive and a theoretical.</p> <p>/* The analysis is too general, and the reported results are somewhat selective. This section needs to be more carefully and systematically constructed.</p> <p>/* The final discussion and conclusion should make it clear how the findings contribute to new knowledge.</p> <p>/* Research methodology based on research goals is poor on absent.</p> <p>/* More bibliography is necessary. Furthermore, the reference list is a little bit weak. Before I can make a final decision on the paper, please refer to more references and upload a new version. It is suggested that the author(s) can consider the following papers related to the role of professional learning communities in facilitating teachers' pedagogical adaptation and change to strengthen the background and conclusions of the study:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Papadakis, S. (2018). Evaluating pre-service teachers' acceptance of mobile devices with regards to their age and gender: a case study in Greece. <i>International Journal of Mobile Learning and Organisation</i>, 12(4), 336-352. • Kalogiannakis, M., & Papadakis, S. (2019). Evaluating pre-service kindergarten teachers' intention to adopt and use tablets into teaching practice for natural sciences. <i>International Journal of Mobile Learning and Organisation</i>, 13(1), 113-127. • Kalogiannakis, M., & Papadakis, St. (2007). The dual form of further education of educators in ICT: technological and pedagogical training. In C. Constantinou, Z. Zacharias & M. Papaevripidou (Eds.) <i>Proceedings of the 8th International Conference On Computer Based Learning in Science</i>, Heraklion, 30 June - 6 July 2007, 265-276. • Kikilias, P., Papachristos, D., Alafodimos, N., Kalogiannakis, M. & Papadakis, St. (2009). An Educational Model for Asynchronous E-Learning. A case study in a Higher Technology Education, In D. Guralnick (ed.) <i>Proceedings of the International Conference on E-Learning in the Workplace (ICELW-09)</i>, 10-12 June 2009, New York: Kaleidoscope Learning (CD-Rom). <p>/* The academic writing needs work.</p> <p>/* Recommendations should also be given for practice and further research.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>/* The paper must be correctly formatted according the journal format</p> <p>A maximum of around 60 quoted words is accepted per paper. There are papers with over 60 words.</p> <p>/* In preparing a revised manuscript, please also include a table of how you have responded to each of the issues listed above point by point.</p> <p>I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript in the near future.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

<i>Name:</i>	Papadakis Stamatios
<i>Department, University & Country</i>	University of Crete, Greece