



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JESBS_57995 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | CAREER GUIDANCE AND ITS IMPLICATIONS FOR STUDENTS' CAREER CHOICES: THE CASE OF PUBLIC SENIOR HIGH SCHOOLS IN THE AGONA EAST DISTRICT OF CENTRAL REGION, GHANA |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some persisting grammatical errors should be corrected: in abstract and introduction (the whole manuscript needs to be proofread) - - There is no clear evidence that indicates the trustworthiness of the collected data and the interpreted results (procedures that were applied during the interviews and the interpretation of their results should be explained and made clear to the reader through a detailed account of researchers' rigorous process) - I prefer not to see names or any information that can identify the involved participants (e.g., Madam Joyce who is the School) - Again, proofread is required (e.g., "...future He said that the information they received during the Career Guidance session was so good and important..") - I would like to see conclusion as a separate section | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Abbreviations must be defined first before using them consistently in-text and perhaps better not to use them in the abstract (e.g., SHSs) - Introduction needs to shed light on the importance of the study not mere historical narrative - I don't see that the followed referencing style conforms with the APA style of referencing, I would like to err on the side of caution and recommend a review of the whole manuscript referencing style - Some wordings and vocabulary that were used could be improved (e.g., The failure to excellently deliver guidance services has mostly led to incorrect career, the normal human discussion process among both parties, etc.) | |
| Optional/General comments | | |



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> I prefer not to see names or any information that can identify the participants (e.g., Madam Joyce who is the School) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Badr Salman H Alsoliman |
| Department, University & Country | King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia |