



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_58361
Title of the Manuscript:	Higher Education in the 21st Century: Relevance, Sufficiency, Challenges and Remedies from Graduates' Perspective.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The flow of the paper or the organization of the paper has to be presented at the end of the introductory section. Abstract (what you are going to do) & conclusions (what you have done) are not sharp, rewrite it. A part of the abstract looks like it has been copied from standard papers. Keywords are not sufficient after the abstract. I think, the similar work has been done by many people, which has to be referenced, i.e., the base paper from where the work has started has to be written (The base paper is not mentioned or cited). Work done by various authors has not been cited properly in the manuscript. Recent references are to be added as well as it has to be cited, majority of them are old references. References are not written in the standard journal format. The recent work done by the author of the paper in the relevant field also has to be mentioned in the references. Similarity index is there with many of the papers already published, hence, use of plagiarism software is recommended to test for the originality before submitting the final manuscript. Many of the paragraphs seems to be copied from standard papers (to be changed in your own words in simple English). Work relevant to the research topic is not incorporated, i.e., the work done by other researchers / authors in this field are not incorporated. The disadvantages, drawbacks of the works in the other author's papers in the relevant field are not put. The future work in this field is not mentioned. Literature survey is inadequate; incorporate the recent works of the authors. There is lot of spelling mistakes & grammatical errors, which has to be corrected using spell check & by other means. Paragraphs are lengthy & have to be cut into smaller sizes. Flow chart or the algorithm of the proposed work should be incorporated. Figures have to be in .jpeg (insert mode). Paper is not in the relevant conference / journal format; redo it according to the format specified by the committee. The existing work is not compared with some standard technique, if this point is implemented, then the proposed work would be authenticated that it is a better concept than the others, if not done, so, please do it & add it so that it will be a full-fledged paper which can be cited by many. The paper has to be revised giving the proper flow of reading, It seems like abruptly written. Who are the stake holders is not mentioned, write a note on the stake holders in any organization.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>English writing is very poor, some abbreviations are used without telling what it is, It will hamper the flow of reading. Figures & tables should be cited in the text & the insertion of the same should be very near to where it is cited. Nomenclature has to be put at the end along with the acknowledgement. Paper contains the content, but it has to be revised in a standard way. The tool that is being used to carry out the statistical analysis, i.e., to plot the survey has to be mentioned with a note. The excel plots showing the quantitative results of the tables can be plotted to give a better clarity to the paper. What are the main challenges in the new educational policy is not categorized properly. How the unemployment is going to be tackled in your new objective is not clearly stated & has to be enunciated. Provide the justification of the study in the form of a flow-chart. The mathematical equations used for the sample size, its in-depth component specs are to be mentioned. Why in the equation for the sample size $q = 1 - p$ is taken, why not $1 + p$, I think it would give better results. The sample size is 300 graduates, will your algorithm work for higher number of graduates in a organization, say 1000 graduates. How R-Software is applied to the analysis, make a small note of it somewhere in the manuscript. In Table 3, Skills, Knowledge, Knowledge and Skills, Nothing is taken, could the number of performance criterias be increased and analysis could be carried out, please justify. What is df, describe. Unemployment analysis, statistics, survey could be done how it affects the education policy.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	<p>Nomenclature has to be put at the end along with the acknowledgement. English has to be improved a bit, there is good novelty & some contribution. Keywords are not there, it has to be put (at least a few). Paragraphs are lengthy, cut it to at least 3-4 paras in a page.</p>	
----------------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shashi Raj K
Department, University & Country	Visvesvaraya Technological University, India