



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_60640
Title of the Manuscript:	OVERLOADED CURRICULUM AND STUDENTS' LEARNING EFFECTIVENESS
Type of the Article	Original

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Description about ethical approval, ethical consideration to involve the school children in the study population (they can't give self-consent)</p> <p>It would be better to mention some demographic information of the participants</p> <p>It is not clear how the data collection was done</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Balance in content: introduction part is very long compared to methodology, results and discussion.</p> <p>Language and writing skill</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tumla Shrestha
Department, University & Country	India