



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_53175
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of faecal sludge from pit latrines to guide management solutions in Cape Coast, Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The study setting should be made more explicit with the presentation of a map that captures the geographical extent of the study area. 2. The paper should be more explicit about how the 13 VIP latrines were selected. It is not clear whether the author had adopted total enumeration or employed a different sampling technique before arriving at the 13 selected. The sampling technique adopted should be specifically mentioned, and the sampling process expatiated. 3. This as well makes the mentioning and explanation of the study's design and approach imperative. 4. The environmental impact dimension to sludge management has not been well explained in the paper, despite being an important arm of the study's aim. This should be well addressed in the discussion/implications of findings. 	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Olapeju Olasunkanmi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Nigeria</i>