



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms JGEESI 54985
Title of the Manuscript:	Land Use Land Cover Dynamics and its Environmental Impacts in Kulfo Watershed, Gamo Highlands, South Western Ethiopia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I enjoyed reading this article. I would recommend authors just to adjust their article on the following issues:</p> <p>1) When writing up the interpretation of an academic article there are three stages (which authors of this paper have not followed rigorously):</p> <p>a) present the data;</p> <p>b) discuss and interpret the findings in connection to theoretical issues;</p> <p>c) contextualise the findings by linking them to the wider literature.</p> <p>In this way, this article needs to connect a bit both the results and the discussions to the works based on theoretical issues of land use.</p> <p>2) I think that several traditional pieces of studies on land use are missing in this article. I would recommend the authors to read a typical work on rural land use, please see: https://www.academia.edu/7645927/Rural_land_use_major_trends_and_characteristics_in_Banat The above mentioned chapter would help the authors add some connections to other rural land use theories and practice.</p> <p>3) The conclusions are quite short. Several new sentences could be added in order to better link the aims of the paper to the results.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cretan Remus
Department, University & Country	West University of Timisoara, Romania