



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_61606
Title of the Manuscript:	Land Use Changes and its impacts on Livelihoods of the Forest-adjacent Communities in Mt Elgon Forest Ecosystem
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Title: I suggest "Land Use Changes and impacts on Livelihoods of the Adjacent Communities to Mt Elgon Forest Ecosystem" for your consideration.</p> <p>Abstract: I have no outstanding observations; however, I suggest reviewing the grammar with an expert who is fluent in the language. Since the abstract is the cover letter of the investigation.</p> <p>Introduction: The authors should support the sentences or ideas referring to the state of the art of research with updated and high impact literature. This, with the purpose of causing more security to the reader and the investigation is based on evidence. In the last paragraph, the objective of the investigation should be clearer and more concise.</p> <p>Materials and methods: The authors make the research reproducible; however, they might consider mentioning precisely (geographic data) the communities adjacent to the forest ecosystem or influence of the research area. Also, it would be interesting to mention the environmental conditions of the intervention area (fluctuation of climatic conditions during the study period). On the other hand, I would have liked to observe multivariate statistical methodologies or geostatistical probability, thematic maps that explain the trends during the research period.</p> <p>Results: The authors adequately describe this section. Just as a suggestion: it would be ideal to carry out a multivariate or geostatistical analysis, in order to generate statistical evidence. Also, it would be interesting to display thematic maps or others that support trends. On the other hand, I was able to see repetitive data both in figures and in texts. This action reduces the quality of writing (redundancy).</p> <p>Discussion: This section is very well described; however, it would be ideal to contrast the results with updated and relevant literature.</p> <p>Conclusion: I have no relevant comments.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Franklin Santos
Department, University & Country	Bolivia