



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_63520
Title of the Manuscript:	Urban Transport Infrastructure and Population dynamics in Sub-Saharan Africa: Evidence from Bamenda City, Cameroon
Type of the Article	This article like a descriptive as well as a minor portion of empirical analysis.

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>To improve the journal standard on the behalf of good publication, following comments are essential for this manuscript. I have studies given manuscript on "Urban Transport Infrastructure and Population dynamics in Sub-Saharan Africa: Evidence from Bamenda City, Cameroon" I strongly recommend to major revision before final acceptance according to standard paper.</p> <p>My comments are given below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the given abstract first sentence is not suitable here. You are directly explained about the linkages between transportation infrastructure and key development factors. This sentence like there is no problem regarding which you want to present. 2. Similarly, another sentence written by you "Bamenda provides an interesting case study because of its centrality and it rapidly growing population" is population growing trend beneficial for an economy please explain on the behalf of growth theories. 3. In the abstract no need to add points to explain your findings. 4. There is need to add one sentence in the abstract related to policy suggestion in the light of your findings. 5. In introduction "African continent such as Abuja, Johannesburg and Cairo, have made transportation to be efficient" please cite this sentence. 6. Please try to link all paragraph with each other. 7. Why this sentence you have added? "The United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics (2004) registered close to 135.9 billion dollars" 8. My suggestion is to remove this paragraph form you intro section or try to link why it is necessary for your study "Transportation and its impact on socio-economic development that transport infrastructure is essential for socio-economic development and the growth of a city. 9. In the next paragraph you have used old references ((Wong and Yip, 1999), Jones and Woods (2002)) you should discuss with recent studies. 10. Research gap is not refined. There is strictly insist to improve your study gap with logics, evidence, why you want to do this study. 11. In next section materials and method there is need to focus on selected region. 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>12. R^2 is very high please explain logic behind the scene.</p> <p>13. Please add some graph related to population growth and infrastructure etc.</p> <p>14. According to the results of correlation there are chances of multicollinearity, or serial correlation please perform the additional test and prove there is no such kind of disturbance in your outcomes.</p> <p>15. The heart of the study is too small please discuss with reasons, logics and then try to relate your study findings with previous studies.</p> <p>16. The conclusion section is not friendly in reading. There is need to conclude your outcomes like a story. In addition, there is need off policy suggestions in the light of results. Likewise, future direction or study limitation is needed.</p> <p>Note: Above mentioned comments are necessary for again review. Looking forward to your again submission.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>Yes, there are number of lines which are not necessary for this manuscript. Authors should focus with keen interest when they interpret their results.</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Syed Ale Raza Shah
Department, University & Country	Xi'an Jiaotong University, China