



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_52517
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Dynamic Failure of Engineering Materials
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Introduction:</b> -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Highlights well the basic concepts and definitions of expected terms to be used in the paper</li> <li>- Introduces Dynamic Failure Mechanics and dynamics well.</li> </ul> <p><b>Related studies:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- It highlights the basic failures and how they come about</li> <li>- Fails to use current journals</li> </ul> <p><b>Background</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Well presented but the equations are not clear. Recommend to use Microsoft Equation 3.0 or Latex</li> </ul> <p><b>Experimental procedure</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Are well presented</li> </ul> <p><b>Results and discussions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Well discussed though missing important explanations.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Revise the format of equations used a clear software to present them well</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- It's a good paper and introduces a worth concept to investigate</li> </ul>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
<b>If plagiarism is suspected, <u>please provide related proofs or web links.</u></b>	<i>See the attached file</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Cliff Orori Mosiori</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Technical University of Mombasa, Kenya</b>