



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_52517
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Dynamic Failure of Engineering Materials
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Write too much in the summary section; 2. The picture is not clear; 3. Picture 2 (a) (b)... It should have a subtitle; 4. Insufficient discussion of the author's original work; 5. The result analysis of the fourth part of the manuscript is basically absent; 6. The complete writing of the experimental part does not conform to the strict writing norms of scientific and technological papers, and the experimental conditions, experimental methods and experimental samples are not strictly described and introduced. 7. The title of the manuscript is not rigorous enough to highlight the research focus of the manuscript; 8. The theoretical model is a scientific problem with appropriate scope and conditions of application, which is not given in the manuscript. <p>So the manuscript was considered unfit for publication.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tanghudan
Department, University & Country	Henan Polytechnic University, China