



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_52691
Title of the Manuscript:	VALIDATION OF SIDDHA DIAGNOSTIC PROCEDURE OF MANIKKADAI NOOL FOR GUNMAM PATIENTS AT GOVERNMENT SIDDHA MEDICAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL, PALAYAMKOTTAI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>This is a good initiative by the author to validate such novel ancient concept in term of modern perspective. The manuscript is well structured though there are few grammatical and technical errors has been observed in scattered throughout the manuscript-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Probable English terminology for each siddha term should be given by following the official standard terminology. 2. Ethical clearance for conducting the trial in human subject should be clarified. 3. References should be arranged and presented in structured form as the guideline. 4. Grammatical error and English should be revised to improve. 5. Validity and reliability of the used questioners for data collocation in the study should ve clarified. 6. Manuscript should be presented in the structured format and outcome realted to each parameter should be explained in Discussion part. 7. Each objective should be concluded in Conclusion section along with significance, drawback and future recommendation. <p>I am really thankful to the authors for giving me the opportunity to read this work.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
--	--------------------	---



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

		<i>highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Ethical clearance for conducting the trial in human subject should be clarified.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Bidhan Mahajon</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>India</i>