



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_51415
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of Capsella bursa-pastoris extract on Heavy Menstrual Bleeding and quality of life in patients with uterine leiomyoma; a double-blind randomized clinical trial
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Kindly reduce</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction to maximum of 400 words 2. The complete manuscript to a maximum of 4,000 words <p>The particular brand of sanitary pad used should be stated. If no uniformity in sanitary pad, how was this corrected for in the calculation of mean blood loss</p> <p>Was there any funding or grant for this study? The researchers should disclose conflict of interest if any</p> <p>The tables should be placed appropriately immediately under the paragraph that discussed the variable.</p> <p>The methodology should made more explicit and simple</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	These were explicitly made on the manuscript. Kindly check via the revise mode	
Optional/General comments	This is a good study although too verbose with minor grammatical errors	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>The ethical issues were appropriately addressed by the authors</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Afolabi Adeniyi Stephen</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal Medical Centre, Nigeria</i>