



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_52929
Title of the Manuscript:	FREQUENCY OF OUTPATIENT INHALERS PRESCRIPTIONS IN RIYADH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Methodology should be detailed: "We analyzed the randomly collected prescriptions to demonstrate the number of the prescribed inhalation drugs." How was made randomization, how many pharmacies, how were the pharmacies selected, how was the required number of prescriptions determined, when was the study realized? Is the number of prescriptions studied sufficient for a general conclusion? Results should also be detailed focusing on inhaled drugs. The other tables (1 and 2) would be of interest if they reported prescriptions of non inhaler respiratory drugs such as theophylline. Otherwise, there are out of the scope of the paper. Discussion is not precisely based on the data, and very general. It doesn't fit the introduction questions such as the role of counseling of the pharmacists and the importance of OTC prescription.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	English may be improved	
Optional/General comments	The message of this paper is appealing, however the paper could be reduced as a letter to the editor. Methodology should be improved to make the data more reliable.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>Yes Were the prescriptions anonymous (or blinded for the research purpose?). If not, patients' consent is required</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Agnes Hamzaoui</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Tunis El Manar University, Tunisia</i>