



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_52959
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Medicinal Plants with Antiangiogenic Activity Available in Iraq
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript doesn't explain the significance of many plants as medicine (how they are used: single or recipe, which part of plant used), and the crucial effects of antiangiogenic activity on disease curing if plant can suppress that action. 2. The authors don't mention, in brief, about the models of studying that they have reviewed and the significant mechanism of plants on inhibiting vessel formation and how it is importance. This makes an article doesn't give any attractive idea. 3. An abstract doesn't give crucial clues linking to the text. 4. Review article shouldn't contain methodology and data analysis which is statistical need. 5. All of these makes this manuscript have not enough strong information to support the author idea. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Anyanee Burodom</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Thammasat University, Thailand</i>