



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_53027
Title of the Manuscript:	A Clinical Trial of cranberry and elderberry extracts (Berdi® Sachet) for Urinary Tract Infection in Pakistani population
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Inclusion criteria reads: > 65 years . This should be less than or equal to 65 years. "Most patients were cured after 5th day" Again, this statement is not in order because you cannot talk about cure without carrying out culture test to ascertain if truly they were cured. Rather it can read that the symptoms disappeared after 5th day.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anslem O. Ajugwo
Department, University & Country	Madonna University, Nigeria