



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_53027
Title of the Manuscript:	A Clinical Trial of cranberry and elderberry extracts (Berdi® Sachet) for Urinary Tract Infection in Pakistani population
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The English language of the manuscript needs polishing ideally by a native English speaker for phrases such as: "Antibiotic is the first choice of treatment and recurrence is alarming sign" "Do not have consumed" "severed viral problems" "talking medicines" "living as married"</p> <p>The authors state "patients were cured" but it is more correct to say that "patients were treated"</p> <p>The section "Cranberry is an abbreviation of "Herb berry". The name derives from the nickname of the blueberry blossom, which looks like the head of the sandbar at the withers. It usually feeds on the berries of this plant" sounds unfounded. Please reword</p> <p>All species names should be in italics</p> <p>The sentence "Pili or fimbriae is the adherence protein" is inexact. Please reword</p> <p>The authors state "Approximately one dozen clinical trials have been performed testing the effects of cranberries on the urinary tract." but there are no references included</p> <p>Details of the "extract in sachet form (Berdi® Sachet)" should be described</p> <p>"Age ≥ 65 years" should be changed to "Age ≤ 65 years"</p> <p>The authors state "Overall, the recurrence rate was 16.9%, lower than the expected 30" Why was 30% expected?</p> <p>The authors state "7th day follow-up questionnaires, gastrointestinal symptoms were reported twice as frequently for those receiving cranberry and elderberry extract (Berdi® Sachet)" Twice as compared to what?</p> <p>The authors state "Runny nose and sneezing was 100% cured after 3rd day of treatment". How is this related to UTIs?</p> <p>The authors state "There are no severe adverse effect reported in previous clinical studies but in one study" but references are missing</p> <p>A Conclusion section is required</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Byron Baron
Department, University & Country	Universty of Malta, Malta