



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_59769
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of submucosal injection of Dexamethasone and Triamcinolone acetonide for control of postoperative pain after impacted mandibular third molar surgery-A Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial
Type of the Article	Clinical Trial

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Congratulations to the authors for this clinical study. However, I have some considerations and questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Was a sample calculation performed to define the sample size? 150?</li> <li>- How was the patient randomization process done? This was not explained in the manuscript.</li> <li>- How was the clinical edema evaluation protocol? This was not explained in the manuscript.</li> <li>- What is the follow-up time for patients? What postoperative moments were pain and edema evaluated?</li> <li>- I suggest reviewing the definition of inclusion criteria regarding the age of patients. The range was very large, 21 years to more than 50 years old. This is not interesting, as the physiological responses are relatively different in young patients when compared to the elderly, as we know. This factor can be reflected in your clinical results.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Reread the manuscript calmly, as there are several punctuation errors.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I suggest focusing on the most important graphics. There are some charts with irrelevant clinical information. Keep only the most important ones.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Edson Luiz Cetira Filho</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Brazil</b>