



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_59769
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of submucosal injection of Dexamethasone and Triamcinolone acetonide for control of postoperative pain after impacted mandibular third molar surgery-A Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Introduction: please add why Dexamethasone and Triamcinolone acetonide are effective postoperative pain after impacted mandibular third</li> <li>2. <b>In Material and Methode:</b> please add clearly the research design used for example: pretest and posttest with control group design or other experiments. then explain in detail the stages of the intervention in the 3 groups the researcher is working on. then explain also how to measure Pain evaluation was performed by visual analog scale (VAS)?</li> <li>3. <b>Result:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. I think the results of descriptive research are more abbreviated, please choose one of the tables or graphs / images, because your title is not oriented to the description of the respondent.</li> <li>b. table 1,2,3 do not use the original table from spss, but please make your own table which is shorter and easier to understand</li> </ol> </li> <li>4. <b>Discussion:</b> sitematics discussion, please do repairs. for example: explain the results of effective research or not? if effective, explain why your research is effective, then support theories and other supporting research.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please use references in the past 10 years</li> <li>2. Introduction: add the high problem of impacted mandibular third in the population that researchers are doing, research countries or in some world</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Tedi Purnama</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Health Polytechnic of Jakarta I, Indonesia</i></b>