



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_59835
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF CALCIUM AND ALKALINE PHOSPHATASE IN THE SALIVARY SAMPLES OF PREMENOPAUSAL AND POSTMENOPAUSAL WOMEN
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	This article is well written but some English terms aren't in the correct language form (see the red ones). I suggest a revision in references if they obey the rules of the journal.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Congratulations for the well written work.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The patients not assigned ethical contentment for this work, I asked if it is necessary. The revision by an ethical committee is is not necessary for this work?	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sebastião David Santos Filho</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil</b>