



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_61405
Title of the Manuscript:	BACTERIAL ANALYSIS FOR SEMINAL FLUID BEFOR IN-VITRO FERTILIZATION PROCEDURE
Type of the Article	Cross-sectional

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-the aim of the study is not clear?is it a survey on the presence of pathologic bacteria in semen?or effect of the bacteria on ivf outcome-what about seminal fluid analysis in the included couple?</li> <li>--the cause of infertility in the couples? is it male-related, female-related or unexplained?</li> <li>-duration of infertility?</li> <li>-inclusion and exclusion criteria?</li> <li>-hx of genital or urinary tract infections?</li> <li>-is the relationship between the presence of bacteria and decreased semen quality or on the result of ivf included in the study or not? Better to illustrate.</li> <li>-the author did not follow the journal reference style.</li> <li>-the conclusion is better to be reviewd.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	none	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The title is better to be : A survey about the presence of pathologic bacteria on the semen of infertile men: A cross sectional study.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhjah Falah Hassan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kerbala University, Iraq</b>