



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_61511
Title of the Manuscript:	THYROID DYSFUNCTION AND INFERTILITY OF WOMEN OF REPRODUCTIVE AGE
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article is a review article but its not mentioned anywhere in the text that it's a review. The database that was used for review has not been mentioned. PRISMA guidelines not followed.</p> <p>Is is a systematic review? -period of articles selected? From how many databases? Pubmed? Scopus/embase? Googlescholar?</p> <p>Methods: type of study performed to be mentioned. (descriptive/analytical/cohort study)</p> <p>Table 1: should include the total number of cases studies then its percentage of cases testing positive for TAI and negative for TAI Changes to be made in the table</p> <p>References: Vancouver style is not followed. References changed to Vancouver format has been highlighted in red. Unique article ID, NLM id or Doi has not been mentioned which is highlighted and included from the NLM site</p> <p>References need to appear in numerical order from 1-26 as it is listed in the references section (according to author guidelines in journal website). Rearrange the references so that is appearing in the text in numerical order</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reasons for infertility mentioned in the introduction section needs referencing which has been highlighted in red. 2. The hormones TSH, LH mentioned should be in units (SI) or expansion on the mentioned units is necessary. 3. Kindly avoid non standard abbreviations e.g.: SHBG 4. Mention abbreviations used in the article at the end of abstract. 5. Mention the dosage of levothyroxine so that its useful for the readers and recommended dosage for the observed levels of TSH can be useful for the readers 6. The conclusion regarding the usage of Levothyroxine should be clearly stated, whether its recommended or not. If it does not have any beneficial effects then whether it can be avoided or mention in conclusion that more interventional RCT are needed to derive at conclusions 	
Optional/General comments	<p>My suggestion is to use a standard method of referencing. Either Vancouver or Harvardetc Pubmed and Scopus websites will give citation facility which can be used for referencing.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>A Julie Christy</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Sri Balaji Vidyapeeth University, India</i>