



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_62174
Title of the Manuscript:	DIAGNOSTIC UTILITY OF ELECTROCARDIOGRAM AND TRANSTHORACIC ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY IN DIAGNOSIS OF LEFT VENTRICULAR HYPERTROPHY AMONG KNOWN HYPERTENSIVE PATIENTS
Type of the Article	Clinical Study-Prospective

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title expresses the content of the article. In the introduction, there is contextualization of the topic addressed. It demonstrates the relevance of the exposed subject, highlighting the importance of ECHD and ECG in the diagnosis of LVH in hypertensive patients. The determination of the reliability of the methods for diagnosis and the superiority of ECHO over ECG, is seen as more expensive, takes more time in execution and requires more skill from the professional.	
Minor REVISION comments	The literature review was well performed, starting with Stephen Hales' pioneering spirit in 1733 with the measurement of blood pressure with horses. Of the 200 patients studied, the results of other scholars who saw that ECG and ECHO have different specificities and sensitivities were compared. He carried out a history-based survey. They describe the methodology used, highlighting the population studied and scores. They conclude the work in a clear and objective way, pointing out a recommendation for the daily routine for the LVH diagnosis	
Optional/General comments	None.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Luciane Maria Oliveira Brito</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal University of Maranhão, Brazil</i>