



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_62175
Title of the Manuscript:	HIGH SENSITIVE C- REACTIVE PROTEIN IN PATIENTS WITH ANGIOGRAPHICALLY PROVED CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The topic is attractive, but the following observations should be corrected:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No abbreviations are used in the abstract</li> <li>• The abstract must be structured and provide information on the objective, methodology, results and conclusions.</li> <li>• In the introduction there are undefined abbreviations (hs-CRP)</li> <li>• Very long paragraphs (greater than 10 lines)</li> <li>• There are unnecessary subtitles, the manuscript must be drafted fluently.</li> <li>• The methodology is insufficient and does not follow the elements of the authors' guide.</li> <li>• There is no discussion of the results with other studies, if it does not exist, it must be written down.</li> </ul>	<p>The topic is attractive, but the following observations should be corrected:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No abbreviations are used in the abstract</li> <li>• The abstract must be structured and provide information on the objective, methodology, results and conclusions.</li> <li>• In the introduction there are undefined abbreviations (hs-CRP)</li> <li>• Very long paragraphs (greater than 10 lines)</li> <li>• There are unnecessary subtitles, the manuscript must be drafted fluently.</li> <li>• The methodology is insufficient and does not follow the elements of the authors' guide.</li> <li>• There is no discussion of the results with other studies, if it does not exist, it must be written down.</li> </ul>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Elements related to grammar and writing should be improved, providing greater fluency to the manuscript.</p>	<p>Elements related to grammar and writing should be improved, providing greater fluency to the manuscript.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>It is an interesting topic, I consider its treatment adequate</p>	<p>It is an interesting topic, I consider its treatment adequate</p>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Urbano Solis Cartas</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Nacional de Chimborazo, Ecuador</b>