



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_63584
Title of the Manuscript:	A Novel Antibacterial Topical Gel From Nigella Sativa And Achyranthes Aspera Against Acne Causing Microorganisms
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1- Where The ATCC for <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> and <i>Propionibacterium acnes</i></p> <p>2- How the Preliminary Crude Extract screening for Antibacterial activity showed that the maximum effect on <i>S. aureus</i> ? while there are opposite results in Table 2, It showed that F2 gives maximum effect against <i>Propionibacterium acnes</i> (10.2 ± 0.2 mm)</p> <p>3- (For F1, F2 MIC values of formulations against <i>S. aureus</i> were evaluated as 240, 61.8µg/ml. Interestingly, the synthetic commercial anti-acne gel showed less MIC value i.e. 120 µg/mL, suggesting better antibacterial). How Mic 120 µg/mL less than 61.8µg/ml?</p> <p>4- There is no discussion. The paragraph under title discussion is considered conclusion. The discussion means comparison of your results with similar previous results in the same field documented with references.</p> <p>5- The references in the list without the name of journal and wrong format. Also 15 references is not sufficient.</p> <p>6- How all the manuscript contain only two experiments?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanan Mohamed Abd-Elnaby
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Egypt