



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_63619
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy Of Extra-Oral Inferior Alveolar Nerve Block In Mandibular Teeth Extraction
Type of the Article	RESEARCH

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The text highlighted in RED needs to be omitted and the text highlighted in GREEN needs to be added.</p> <p>The text highlighted in YELLOW under the INTRODUCTION needs thorough correction grammatically.</p> <p>The text highlighted in TORQUOISE requires logical and more explicit explanation. Write the advantages and indications of extraoral techniques together (the text in gray)</p> <p>Which "above mentioned type of anesthesia" is being referred? Highlighted in light blue</p> <p>The word patients is being repeated many times ; correct the English of the text highlighted in YELLOW under Methodology</p> <p>What was the criteria of OSMF? Was it diagnosed clinically; if yes then which classification was used; or was it diagnosed histopathologically??</p> <p>Mention the reference of the technique used for extra oral anesthesia</p> <p>Mention the no of patients instead of "almost all" text highlighted in TORQUOISE under Results and under abstract as well.</p> <p>"While, no severe pain was found among both groups" which both groups are being referred to??</p> <p>"most of the cases" again mention the no of cases and don't use words like almost all or most for objective things</p> <p>In KEYWORDS mention words separated by commas and not sentences</p> <p>The usage of word treatment highlighted in PINK in abstract, discussion and conclusion is not apt</p> <p>Mention about the results of the study highlighted in TORQUOISE in DISCUSSION</p> <p>Correct the ENGLISH of the text highlighted in YELLOW in discussion as the meaning or information being conveyed is not understood.</p> <p>Mention the time for onset of anesthesia in IANB also in discussion</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Objective of the study is goodcould have explained the importance of study in a much better way by writing a good discussion comparing their results with other studies.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Geetika Sobti
Department, University & Country	Ruhs College Of Dental Sciences, India