



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_65130
Title of the Manuscript:	Pharmacy, Medicine and Nursing Students' Perceptions about Learning Pharmacology
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>Comment in introduction: it is better to discuss pharmacology, theoretical or practical curriculum and existing conditions</p> <p>Comments in Methodology:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please rewrite the methodology section and explain more detailed the type of study, - Authors should mention when the study was done? - Please specify the variables you examined in the study - Please expand each measurement or scale - Expand the validity and reliability of the questionnaire - Specify the academic year of the students - Totally Method section is very short and it should be more detailed. In addition, the Method section should be rewritten. <p>Comments in Result:</p> <p>I recommend that author focus on relationship between students' field of study, gender, age and their experience with their perception of pharmacology.</p> <p>Comments in Discussion:</p> <p>Author could pay their attention on deeper aspects of results.</p> <p>Explain the limitations of research and how to control them</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors have concentrated on an interesting issue in medical education, but there is several shortcomings in the writing of manuscript. First of all, paragraphs were not well-arranged. Method is very briefly written and it should be expanded. Discussion section should be improved.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akram Gazerani
Department, University & Country	Neyshabur University of Medical Sciences, Iran