



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_65130
Title of the Manuscript:	Pharmacy, Medicine and Nursing Students' Perceptions about Learning Pharmacology
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>I consider that the article may be published after making the following adjustments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I suggest removing the subtitles from the abstract and organizing the ideas in one or two paragraphs without subtitles and mentioning the University in which the research was carried out.</li> <li>- Usually the purpose of a survey is to make a diagnosis, especially if the results will be used for curriculum adjustments, for this reason it is more pertinent to use the concept "Diagnosis" as the first phase and then talk about evaluation.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I absolutely agree with the idea that "student feedback is considered a useful tool to improve performance", particularly in the evaluation and organization of curricula and study plans. This idea can be expanded upon in the conclusions of the article, precisely because it is one of the most important ideas in the research.</li> <li>- I consider it pertinent to prove in the text what was the selection criterion of the University in which the survey was applied.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	None	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Heidy Natalia García Cadena</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Secretariat of education of Bogotá, Colombia</b>