



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_65850
Title of the Manuscript:	The Most Predominant Gram Negative Bacteria in a Public Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1/I would recommend the change of the title of the paper to more "catchy" paying attention to the fact that the results are of great clinical importance.</p> <p>2/Despite the authors listed the limitations of the study, covering the lack of the causes of infections formed by individual bacterial strains, the profile of the hospital/types of clinical wards in which the analysis was made should be described. This would be a valuable addition to the missing data, and perhaps it would partially respond to the causes of the infections.</p> <p>3/The authors do not present the results of the prevalence of multi-drug resistant bacterial strains</p> <p>4/The authors did not mention the methods of statistical analysis, even if they used basic statistics.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The discussion section should be clearly divided from the material section	
Optional/General comments	The authors should emphasize the news (the novelty) that comes from the results of the work	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Beata Mrozikiewicz-Rakowska</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Medical University of Warsaw, Poland</i>