



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_52608
Title of the Manuscript:	A comparative analysis on maritime disaster management between Korea and Sweden
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>At Table 1, in the word "September 28: the Swedish prime minister meets with the prime ministers of <i>Estonia</i>". <i>Why Estonia is in italic?</i></p> <p>At my version of Microsoft Word, some characters are close, like:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Line 204: "stakeholders.Mechanisms" • Line 221: "prepared.The" • Line 223: "methods.Build-Back-Better" • Line 229: "Framework.However" • Line 233: "now.On" • Line 278: "theEstoniasamlingen." 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Helder Cesar Tinoco
Department, University & Country	Federal Institute of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil