



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_54341
Title of the Manuscript:	DEMOGRAPHIC TRANSITION IN THE PAST AND PROJECTED FIVE DECADES IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA, 1967-2068: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In Methodology: I think need to explain the data sources that used for analyzed, both Transition in the Past and Projected in specific section after study area and before analytical techniques. Describe about type of data that used, from what survey or data sources. - in Analytical : Is there some explanation/references about the cut-off the high/low births and high/low deaths? In what number we can state high and low? or, how can we stated high/low number? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for overall discussion: in introduction, researcher write that population growth is a function of birth, death, immigration and emigration rates, so in discussion is prefer to explain about immigration and emigration rates potential in Nigeria. How far these factors can influences the population growth. Moreover, there are data sources for immigration and emigration rates that used for analyzed 	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript is good knowledge. But researcher need complete some explanation/section. And enrich some information related topic and results. For some comments, can see the manuscript that I have reviewed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rofingatul Mubasyiroh
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Health Research and Development, Indonesia