



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Scientific Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JSRR_60814 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Dating Violence among Male and Female Students at the University of Zambia |
| Type of the Article | Original research paper |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>Well-structured work, which highlights characteristics of quality and impact on research, evaluated on factors of opportunity and timeliness, and scientific and social relevance of the theme.</p> <p>As for its relevance, the multiplicity of studies on dating violence as a problem, cultural factors as triggers of the situation of violence and the severity of the physical, psychological and social consequences of the practice of violence, demonstrate the opportunity of the study.</p> <p>As for relevance, the antecedents of violence and abuse in the family, the need to prevent interpersonal conflict that generates violence as early as possible, the extent of dating violence at the university to family violence, support this study.</p> <p>As for the methodological correction, encompassing the documentary form, the structure / logic of the development, the precise and extensive language with correction of the writing and technical terminology, containing data, references, access and timely and appropriate analyzes, the work is systematized, without epidemiological mishaps and with very well-founded considerations.</p> <p>As for the content, it is a timely work, in science, rigor and comprehensive impact, centered on violence in dating, proving the importance of the function and educational intervention, through appropriate methodologies (counseling centers and policies of cultural approach, pragmatic and family protection).</p> <p>In global analysis, the work "Dating violence between male and female students at the University of Zambia", is an excellent work, as an appropriate scientific article on gender violence, General and Family Medicine, public health and human rights, with much interest in academia, professional performance and public policy advocacy.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>There is a need for studies with larger samples.</p> <p>Educational implications should be further developed in other studies.</p> | |
| Optional/General comments | <p>The application of the Sustainable Development Goals will limit gender-based violence.</p> | |



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | <i>Hernâni Pombas Caniço</i> |
| Department, University & Country | <i>University of Coimbra, Portugal</i> |