



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_60814
Title of the Manuscript:	Dating Violence among Male and Female Students at the University of Zambia
Type of the Article	Original research paper

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>A) ABSTRACT: I) I suggest that in the results part specify in a more direct and objective way so as not to be tiring for the reader;</p> <p>B) INTRODUCTION: I) The introduction is well described, which was problematized on the topic. However, I felt the need to justify the importance of the study;</p> <p>C) MATERIAL AND METHODS: I) Did you use qualified references for content analysis? Was it Bardin's? II) Was an instrument used to conduct the interview? Was there a guiding question?</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>TITLE: The article has 6214 words. According to the magazine's rules it should be between 3000-6000 words (including everything)</p> <p>I) I suggest that the title be replaced by "violence experienced by students at the University of Zambia";</p> <p>B) INTRODUCTION: I) Suggestion: replace for "its prevalence is high";</p> <p>C) DISCUSSION: I One question: the magazine accepts to bring in the middle of the discussion citations by authors? II) In several paragraphs the phrase "The results of this study" is repeated a lot. I suggest replacing it with: "Besides, besides, besides this.</p> <p>D) CONCLUSION: I) suggest not bringing a quote at the conclusion.</p> <p>E) REFERENCES: Review the references, as there are some very old ones</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>A) RESULTS: I) Suggestion: as it is a qualitative study, it can bring in a quantity the percentage of this type of violence experienced by university students.</p> <p>II) When describing an excerpt from the interviewees' statements, use "if necessary" as a suggestion.</p> <p>B) CONCLUSION: I) I suggest removing "The results reported, highlight"</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<i>Jhuliano Silva Ramos de Souza</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal University of Alfenas, Brazil</i>