



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_64173
Title of the Manuscript:	Ethnopharmacology of Acorus calamusL. among indigenous communities of Ganderbal district in Kashmir
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Introduction must be divided into concise paragraphs mentioning the context, the subject importance, the definitions, the previous studies, etc. - Material and Methods: must describe the studied plant (ecology, floristic, uses, etc.) not the district. Also, more development of the study techniques is needed to understand the adopted scientific methodology. - Results and discussion: the spectre of the treated diseases is large. Compared analyses are useful, but more information about the mentioned previous studies must be shown. - Conclusion: must be revised after the development of the other parts. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Results and discussion: searching on the bioactive compound precursors could be valuable for the articles (why a plant part is predominant in traditional use? Why some diseases are more treated ?) 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - More organization is needed 	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdelkarim Guaadaoui
Department, University & Country	Mohammed First University, Morocco