



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_54053
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Faba bean production in salt-affected soils by Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. viciae inoculation and Phosphorus application.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) Page 6, Line 1:were studied table, please check the accuracy of sentences. 2) Page 6, Line 20: they showed than inoculation with, please check the accuracy of sentences. 3) In this work, what is Control treatment?. Because there is no any Control Treatment. 4) For discussion, authors should add their discussion as follows: 4.1 Authors should explain the interaction of salinity levels and phosphorus levels, which affect to faba bean growth parameters. 4.2 In this work, why did inoculation showed the more responses than un-inoculation? 4.3 For microbial population, why did bacteria showed the maximal population under all salinity levels? 4.3 Why did inoculation showed the more population than uninoculation? 4.4 Why did inoculation help to be more resistant to salty?	
Minor REVISION comments	1) Reference number 3: Myrtus communis change to <i>Myrtus communis</i> 2) Reference number 43: Rhizobium sp. change to <i>Rhizobium</i> sp. 3) Reference number 61: A Review Molecular Soil Biology, 6: 1- 12-202. Please check the accuracy of page number. 4) Reference number 16: Rhizoctonia solani and Phytophthora capsisi change to <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> and <i>Phytophthora capsisi</i> 5) Reference number 53: Lack of Volume (Issue): page number. 6) Reference number 48: N ₂ -fixation change to N ₂ -fixation 7) Reference number 62: rhizobium japonicum change to <i>rhizobium japonicum</i> 8) Reference number 63: Phaseolus vulgaris change to <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> 9) Reference number 45: Acacia ampliceps change to <i>Acacia ampliceps</i> 10) Reference number 45: And A. Magium Willd. At change to and A. Magium Willd. At 11) Reference number 7: Vicia faba change to <i>Vicia faba</i> 12) Reference number 4: an enhancing....change to An enhancing..... 13) Reference number 66: Vigna radiate change to <i>Vigna radiate</i> 14) Reference number 1: Soil salinity: A serious environmental issue and plant change to Soil salinity: A serious environmental issue and plant 15) Reference number 1: Saudi, J. Biol. Sci.;, please correct the font. 16) Reference number 54: <i>Cicer arietinum</i> L. change to <i>Cicer arietinum</i> L. 17) Reference number 20: Brassica napus change to <i>Brassica napus</i>	
Optional/General comments	1) The fonts in manuscript are very too small to can't see clearly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benjawan Chutichudet
Department, University & Country	Maharakham University, Thailand