



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_54322
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and Characterization of Carbonoclastic Bacteria Diversity in Oil-contaminated Soil in Cape Coast Metropolis, Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Ms MRJI 54322 can be accepted with some minor revisions, there are many errors in the text regarding terminology derived from the influence of the French language, such as color instead of color, od harbor instead of harbor. We recommend revision of a native speaker. The biochemical tests carried out by the authors although in use could be validated by more modern enzymatic tests. The tables presented should be formatted and divided into more marked sections, such as the use of edging. The legend of the tables would be useful Fig. 2 must be standardized with the same graphics and same dimensions, the legend should be introduced in each chart. The bibliographical references reported in the text should be briefly described and not only referred to by the numbering The bibliography has different characters and fonts, derived from copy-paste operations and must be standardized.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Franco Cervellati</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Ferrara, Italy</i>